

EFFECT OF PRIMARY NUTRIENT AND ZINC ON NUTRIENT UPTAKE AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES OF MAIZE (*ZEA MAYS* L.)

OM KUMAR^{1*}, ARUN A DAVID¹, RAKESH KUMAR², BRIJESH YADAV³, SANDEEP K. MALYAN⁴ AND DEVESH PRATAP⁴

¹Department of Soil Science, Allahabad School of Agriculture,

Sam Higgin bottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad - 211 007, INDIA

²Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour - 813 210, INDIA

³Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Ujwa, New Delhi - 110 073, INDIA

⁴Center for Environment Science and Climate

Resilient Agriculture, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi - 110 012, INDIA

e-mail: omkumarsmart@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Primary nutrient
zinc
zinc uptake
Maize

Received on :

16.10.2015

Accepted on :

14.02.2016

*Corresponding
author

ABSTRACT

Field experiments were conducted in factorial design with three replications involving 9 treatment combination (three primary nutrient levels: 0, 50 and 100% RDF and zinc levels: 0, 10, and 20 kg/ha) to evaluate the effect of primary nutrient and zinc application on nutrient uptake, growth and yield attribute of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Results revealed that treatment combination P₂M₂ (@ 120 Kg N ha⁻¹ + 60 Kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 40 Kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + @ 20 Kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹) significantly enhanced the growth and yield attribute, primary nutrient and zinc uptake, over their respective counter treatments. The highest dry weight in P₂M₂ (360.00 g) and the minimum was recorded in control of (307.33 g). Maximum grain yield (5.95 t ha⁻¹) and stover yield of (13.10 t ha⁻¹) was recorded in P₂M₂ followed by P₁M₁. The highest N, P₂O₅, K and ZnSO₄-uptake by grain (67.54, 10.73, 21.97 Kg ha⁻¹ and 49.67 mg kg ha⁻¹) and stover (43.29, 4.83, 59.319 Kg ha⁻¹ and 89.41 mg kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in the treatment combination i.e 120 Kg N ha⁻¹ + 60 Kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 40 Kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + @ 20 Kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹. Treatment P₂M₂ was found to be the best.

INTRODUCTION

India with its vast population is confronted with food problem, where millions of people lack a wholesome diet face the increasing food requirement, decreasing of the availability of land per capita. The per capita land has decreased from 1.37 to 0.15 by the year 1999 inspite of increase in cultivated area of the country due to rehabilitation and better management (Behera and Sharma, 2007). World production of maize during 1995 amounted to 514.51 million tonnes with a yielding capacity of 3736 kg ha⁻¹, accounting for 63.93 % of the coarse grains and 27.1 percent of the total cereal production respectively. World production of maize during 2006-07 amounted to 686.75 million tonnes. Maize has the potential to supply large amounts of energy- rich forage for animal diets, and its fodder can safely be fed at all stages of growth without any danger of oxalic prussic acid (Jha *et al.*, 2015).

In India it occupies an area of about 6.4 million ha with a production of 11.5 million tones and a productivity of 1.7 tonnes hectare. It is cultivated in diverse production environments, ranging from the temperate hill zones of Himachal Pradesh to the semi – arid deserts of Rajasthan. Uttar Pradesh stand first occupying 1396.6 thousand hectare and producing 1061.3 thousand tones (Economic Survey 2000-2001 and Ministry of Agriculture). Uttar Pradesh

production of maize is 9 % out of 100 % percent world productions. Production of maize in Allahabad during 2005-06 amounted to 185 million tonnes. (Karvy comtrade limited, 2007).

Nitrogen is a component of protein and nucleic acids and when nitrogen is sub-optimal, growth is reduced (Singh *et al.*, 2013). The recovery of applied nitrogen is low. Particularly in rainy season, the split application of nitrogen usually enhances its recovery and utilization. Demand of plants for nitrogen is more than any other nutrients but deficiencies is noticed at every stage of growth, especially at. Tasseling and silking stage, may lead to virtual crop failure. The nitrogen utilization pattern is found to be increased from seedling to knee- high stage and reach peak at tasseling stage when the plants remove nearly 4-5 Kg N ha⁻¹ per day. It is interesting to note that the response of applied nitrogen is highest in poor fertility conditions than the normal ones. Therefore, split application of the same is needed. Best result are achieved when nitrogen applied in three split, viz ½th at sowing stage, ¼th at Knee stage (35-40 DAS) and remaining ¼th at tasseling stage. Fertiliser placement below the seed and side dressing of nitrogen at second and third application gives best results. Under ideal fertility management, it is reported than new plant types yield 15-25 Kg grain per kg of applied nitrogen. The crop is grown under rain fed or partially irrigated condition. During rainy season

nitrogen loss through leaching becomes very obvious from the field. Phosphorus is one of the essential nutrient elements required by plants. Recommended dose of phosphorus in maize crop 60 kg ha⁻¹ (Shekhawat *et al.* 2012). Phosphorus helps in root formation, cell division and stimulates growth. Phosphorus makes plants more drought and resistant. Increase protein and mineral contents in plant. Increase the ratio of grain to straw in cereals and thus increases the yield of grain. Phosphorus deficiencies show that growth is stunted, leaves become smaller in size, delay maturity and in maize leaves and stem become purple. Phosphorus available forms of nutrients in soil HPO₄⁻. Potassium is one of the three major essential nutrient elements required by plants. Potassium is essential in all process needed to sustain plant life. The functions of potassium in the plant are numerous and complex. Potassium is expressed in terms of K₂O. Recommended dose of potassium in maize crop 40 kg ha⁻¹ (Ibragimov, 1990). Potassium is absorbed by plants in the ionic form K⁺. Generally in plant nutrition and for composition of fertilizers, potassium is expressed in terms of K₂O. Potassium is essential in nearly all processes needed to sustain plant life.

Zinc is secondary essential nutrient for plants and applied for Zn deficiency. Recommended dose of zinc in maize crop 20 kg ha⁻¹ (Nayyar *et al.*, 2001). In field crops are widespread all over the world because of increased Zn demands of intensive cropping system and adoption of high-yielding cultivars with relatively greater Zn demand. Zn-deficient areas enhance production of crops on soils that contain low levels of Zn, increased use of high analysis fertilizers containing low amounts of Zn, decrease use of animal manures, compost, and crop residues, and involvement of natural and anthropogenic factors that limit adequate plant nutrient availability and create nutrient imbalances (Fageria *et al.*, 2002). One study has found Zn deficiency in nearly 47% soil samples collected from agriculture crop fields (Singh, 1988). Keeping these facts in mind, the present investigation was attempted to study the effect of primary nutrient and zinc on nutrient uptake and yield attributes of maize (*Zea mays* L.).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Climate and weather conditions

Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad is situated at 25.57° North latitude and 81.5° East longitudes and a height of 98 m above mean sea level. This tract enjoys a semi arid, sub-tropical climate with extreme of temperature during summer (May-June) and the winter (Dec.-Jan.) are severe with minimum temperature of 3°C and in summer the temperature often goes to 48°C accompanied with hot and desiccating winds.

Experimental details

The experiment was laid out in 3 x 3 factorial designs with three replication in Maize as test crop during the Kharif season (mid June-mid November) of the year 2011 and 2012 in sandy loam soil (Sand 60.80%, Silt 24.10% and Clay 15.10%). Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (RDF) for N, P, K and Zn was 120 kg ha⁻¹, P₂O₅ 60 kg ha⁻¹, K₂O 40 kg ha⁻¹ and ZnSO₄ –20 kg ha⁻¹, respectively for maize.

Levels of primary nutrient (P)

Details of treatments and their combinations

Treatment	Combination	Description
T ₀	P ₀ M ₀	Control
T ₁	P ₀ M ₁	[@ 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ + 0 Kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 0 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ + @ 10 Kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹]
T ₂	P ₀ M ₂	[@ 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ + 0 Kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 0 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ + (@ 20 Kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹]
T ₃	P ₁ M ₀	[@ 60 kg N ha ⁻¹ + 30 Kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 20 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ + @ 0 Kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹]
T ₄	P ₁ M ₁	[@ 60 kg N ha ⁻¹ + 30 Kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 20 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ + @ 10 Kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹]
T ₅	P ₁ M ₂	[@ 60 kg N ha ⁻¹ + 30 Kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 20 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ + @ 20 Kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹]
T ₆	P ₂ M ₀	[@ 120 Kg N ha ⁻¹ + 60 Kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 40 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ + @ 0 Kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹]
T ₇	P ₂ M ₁	[@ 120 Kg N ha ⁻¹ + 60 Kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 40 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ + @ 10 Kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹]
T ₈	P ₂ M ₂	[@ 120 Kg N ha ⁻¹ + 60 Kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 40 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ + @ 20 Kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹]

P0 = control

P1 = 50% RDF, N, P and K [(@ 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 30 Kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 20 Kg K₂O ha⁻¹)]

P2 = 100% RDF, N, P and K. [(@ 120 Kg N ha⁻¹ + 60 Kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 40 Kg K₂O ha⁻¹)]

Levels of micronutrient (M)

M0 = Control

M1 = 50% RDF, ZnSO₄ [(@ 10 Kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹)]

M2 = 100% RDF, ZnSO₄ [(@ 20 Kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹)]

[Source: Urea (N), Single Super Phosphate (P₂O₅), Muriate of Potash (K₂O), Zinc Sulphate]

Observation recorded in crop

During pre-harvest observation of plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, stem diameter (cm), dry weight of the plant (g), no. of cobs per plant, at 30, 60 and 90 DAS were taken. Similarly, during Post-harvest, observation of length of cob (cm), no. of grains per cob (g), weight of cob (g), seed Index (1000), grain yield (Kg ha⁻¹), stover yield (kg ha⁻¹) were taken.

Soil observations

Post-harvest soil sample were taken to the plough layer (0-15cm depth) of each plot for determination of its chemical by using standard procedure i.e available N by Subbiah and Asija (1956), available phosphorous by Olsen *et al.* (1954), available potassium by Jackson (1973) and available Zn by Lindsay & Norvell, (1978).

Statistical analysis

The data will be recorded during the course of investigation were subjected to statistical analysis by 'analysis of variance technique' (Fisher, 1950) for drawing conclusion. The significant differences between the means were tested against the critical difference at 5% level (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth attributes

There was a significant increase in plant height at 100% RDF of macronutrient level over 50 % RDF of macronutrient and

Table 1: Effect of different level of phosphorous and zinc on growth parameters of maize (2 year pool data)

Treatment	Plant height (cm)			No. of leaves per plant			Stem diameter (cm)			Dry weight (g)		
	30 (DAS)	60 (DAS)	90 (DAS)	30 (DAS)	60 (DAS)	90 (DAS)	30 (DAS)	60 (DAS)	90 (DAS)	30 (DAS)	60 (DAS)	90 (DAS)
T ₀	61.0	90.7	132.0	4.9	7.4	8.8	0.93	1.9	2.7	1.1	30.0	175.7
T ₁	81.7	132.2	148.3	6.1	8.3	8.3	0.99	2.2	2.9	1.2	31.2	200.0
T ₂	75.9	141.8	151.6	5.9	9.0	8.3	1.45	2.35	2.92	1.3	31.2	209.7
T ₃	65.7	148.2	153.3	6.3	8.7	8.2	1.45	2.3	2.94	1.4	32.5	210.5
T ₄	83.8	154.8	162.7	7.2	9.4	10.0	1.73	2.65	3.78	1.6	39.8	234.3
T ₅	74.0	141.6	147.3	6.3	9.0	8.2	1.77	2.6	3.75	1.5	35.3	228.0
T ₆	67.3	143.4	153.0	5.9	8.8	7.6	1.75	2.76	3.15	1.4	36.2	231.3
T ₇	70.7	146.0	153.0	5.9	8.9	8.4	1.82	2.85	3.84	1.5	36.7	227.3
T ₈	103.1	157.4	172.3	8.3	10.8	10.2	1.84	3.01	3.88	1.7	42.2	237.7
S. Em. (±)	0.31	0.54	2.71	0.09	0.13	0.11	0.054	0.057	0.045	0.271	0.569	2.802
C.D. at 5%	0.662	1.148	5.741	0.194	0.266	0.236	0.113	0.121	0.096	NS	1.207	5.940

Table 2: Effect of different levels of phosphorous and zinc levels on yield attributes of maize (2 year pool data)

Treatment	No. of cobs per plant	Length of cob (cm)	Weight of cob (g)	No. of grains per cob
T ₀	1.00	15.5	67.3	279.16
T ₁	1.00	17.13	88.33	373.83
T ₂	1.00	17.83	86.5	382.50
T ₃	1.50	18.28	81.66	386.33
T ₄	1.83	20.93	102.8	482.66
T ₅	1.66	20.75	97.52	472.00
T ₆	1.66	19.8	98.8	454.00
T ₇	1.50	20.5	92.68	463.00
T ₈	2.00	21.75	105.26	485.33
S. Em. (±)	0.180	1.343	0.2	3.143
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	0.585	6.663

Table 3: Effect of different levels of phosphorous and zinc on grain yield of maize (2 year pool data)

Levels of (P)	Levels of (M)			Mean (M)
	M ₀	M ₁	M ₂	
P ₀	2.76	3.23	3.65	3.21
P ₁	4.83	5.80	5.75	5.46
P ₂	4.90	5.70	5.95	5.52
Mean (P)	4.16	4.91	5.12	
	S. Em. (±)	C.D. at 5%		
Macronutrient (P)	0.038	0.081		
Micronutrient ZnSO ₄ (M)	0.038	0.081		
Interaction (P × M)	0.066	0.140		

Table 4: Effect of different levels of phosphorous and zinc on Stover yield of maize (2 year pool data)

Levels of (P)	Levels of (M)			Mean (M)
	M ₀	M ₁	M ₂	
P ₀	8.28	9.76	9.97	9.34
P ₁	10.45	12.23	11.75	11.48
P ₂	11.95	11.50	13.10	12.18
Mean (P)	10.23	11.16	11.61	
	S. Em. (±)	C.D. at 5%		
Macronutrient (P)	0.081	0.172		
Micronutrient ZnSO ₄ (M)	0.081	0.172		
Interaction (P × M)	0.140	0.298		

control. At 90 DAS, treatment P₂M₂ (T₈) had maximum plant height of (172.3cm) greater than the control (132.0cm) (Table 1). The increase in number of leaves per plant between control and different level of N P K & Zn were significant at all the successive stage of growth. Among three levels of N P K & Zn, T₈ increased the number of leaves per plant followed by T₄

and showed significant increase over control at 90 DAS. At 90 DAS the maximum stem diameter was (3.88cm) in treatment combination of P₂M₂ followed by (3.84cm) in treatment combination of P₂M₁, respectively and the minimum of (2.70 cm) was found in control. The highest dry weight in T₈ (360.00 g) followed by (355.50 g) in T₄ and the minimum was recorded

Table 5: Effect of different levels of phosphorous and zinc uptake of maize crop (2 year pool data)

Treatment	N-uptake Kg ha ⁻¹		K-uptake Kg ha ⁻¹		P ₂ O ₅ -uptake Kg ha ⁻¹		ZnSO ₄ uptake mg ha ⁻¹	
	Grain	Stover	Grain	Stover	Grain	Stover	Grain	Stover
T ₀	39.85	25.54	11.60	31.32	4.5	2.03	40.63	73.13
T ₁	38.21	24.49	14.77	39.879	6.25	2.91	50.00	90.00
T ₂	50.60	32.44	17.70	47.79	7.10	3.20	45.33	81.59
T ₃	54.60	35.00	12.82	34.614	4.90	2.23	48.00	86.40
T ₄	59.45	38.11	18.68	50.436	8.80	3.95	44.67	80.41
T ₅	51.66	33.12	15.97	43.119	8.07	3.67	41.67	75.01
T ₆	47.70	30.58	15.53	41.931	6.00	2.70	48.00	86.40
T ₇	48.80	31.28	13.72	37.044	5.77	2.63	43.33	77.99
T ₈	67.54	43.29	21.97	59.319	10.73	4.83	49.67	89.41
S. Em. (±)	0.430	0.394	0.083	0.41	0.035	0.029	0.276	1.16
C.D. at 5%	0.912	0.826	0.175	0.869	0.075	0.061	0.585	2.45

in control of (307.33 g). Sharma *et al.* (1991) from his field experiment conducted at IARI, New Delhi, on sandy loam soil, reported a significant increase in the plant height and number of leaves plant⁻¹, with each successive increase in the level of fertilizers used. From Panthnagar, Shivay and Singh (2000) and Shivay *et al.* (2002) reported increase in plant height and dry matter accumulation in maize with the application of 120 kg N ha⁻¹. Similar findings were reported by Kapur and Rana (1980), Stromberger *et al.* (1994) and Dhingra *et al.* (1991) who supports the findings of the present investigation.

Yield attributes

The significantly maximum number of cobs per plant was (2.00) in treatment T₈ followed by (1.83) in treatment combination T₄ as reported by Sangoi (1990) (Table 2). The crop showed significant increasing trend in length of cob with each levels of N P K and Zn over the control. The maximum length was (21.75) in treatment T₈ followed by (20.93 cm) in treatment T₄ and minimum length was (15.5) in control. The increased length of cob was due to increasing levels of N P K and Zn. There was a significant difference in weight of cob when the plots were treated with difference levels of N P K and Zn. The maximum weight of cob (105.26 g) was recorded in treatment combination of P₂M₂ followed by P₁M₁ over the control. The effect of different levels of N P K and Zn on number of grains per cob was statistically significant and found higher in T₈ (485.33) and minimum in T₀ i.e 279.16. Verma and Singh (1976) from Agra and Dhillon *et al.* (1987) from Ludhiana reported increase in yield attributing characters with increase rate of N application up to 120 kg ha⁻¹ on sandy loam soils.

Yield

There was a significant difference in grain yield when the plots were treated with different levels of N P K and Zn i.e maximum yield (5.95 t ha⁻¹) was recorded in P₂M₂ followed by P₁M₁ over the control (2.76) (Table 3). From a field study conducted during winter seasons on sandy loam soils of Hissar, Nandal and Agarwal (1991) reported a linear response of maize to nitrogen application. These results were supported by the findings of Mishra (1993) and Gill *et al.* (1994).

The maximum Stover yield of (13.10 t ha⁻¹) was recorded in P₂M₂ treatment followed by P₁M₁ (12.23 t ha⁻¹) whereas minimum of (8.28 t ha⁻¹) was found in P₀M₀ (control) (Table 4).

Padmaja *et al.* (1991) reported that the grain and stover yields were increased significantly with increase in the level of N from 0 to 150 kg ha⁻¹ on clay soils of Bapatla during rabi season. Similar findings were reported by Gaur (1991), Oleson *et al.* (1994) and Stromberger *et al.* (1994).

Nutrient uptake

From the table 5 it may be seen that interaction between different N P K and Zn was significant. The highest N- uptake by grain (67.54 Kg ha⁻¹) and stover (43.29 Kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in the treatment combination of N P K and Zn @ 120 Kg N ha⁻¹ + 60 Kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 40 Kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + @ 20 Kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ followed by (59.45Kg ha⁻¹ in grain and 38.11 Kg ha⁻¹) in the treatment combination of N P K and Zn @ 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 30 Kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 20 Kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + @ 10 Kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ respectively and the minimum of (39.85 Kg ha⁻¹) was found in control. Munaswamy *et al.* (1989) reported that uptake of N at harvest was significantly increased with increasing N levels up to 120 kg N ha⁻¹. Similar findings was observed by Burns and Ebelhar (2006).

The highest P₂O₅ and K uptake by grain (10.73, 21.97 Kg ha⁻¹) and stover (4.83, 59.319 Kg ha⁻¹) by was recorded in T₈ treatment (P₂M₂) followed by 8.80 Kg ha⁻¹ and 18.68 Kg ha⁻¹ in T₄ (P₁M₁) and minimum in control (4.57, 11.60Kg ha⁻¹) respectively. Ananthi *et al.* (2010) from their study at Coimbatore on sandy loam soils during kharif season reported that uptake of P increased with increase in phosphorus from 75 to 100 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹.

The highest ZnSO₄- uptake by grain (49.67 mg kg ha⁻¹) and stover (89.41 mg kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in treatment P₂M₂ followed by 44.67 mg kg ha⁻¹ in the treatment P₁M₁ and minimum in control (4.63 mg kg ha⁻¹). Similar findings were reported by Uribelarrea *et al.* (2004) and Abunyewaa and Quareshie (2004) who observed that application of Zn at higher levels increased grain yield.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankful to the Head, Department of Soil Science, Allahabad School of Agriculture, Sam Higgin bottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad-211007, India for providing the facilities during the course of investigation.

REFERENCES

- Abunyewa, A. A., and Quarshie, H. M. 2004.** Response of maize to magnesium and zinc application in the semi arid zone of West Africa. *Asian. J. Plant. Sci.* **3:** 1-5.
- Ananthi, T., Amanullah, M. M. and Subramanian, K. S. 2010.** Influence of mycorrhiza and synthetic fertilizers on soil nutrient status and uptake in hybrid maize. *Madras Agric. J.* **97:** 374-378.
- Behera, U. K. and Sharma, A. R. 2007.** Modern concepts of agriculture: Farming Systems p. 2.
- Black, C. A. 1965.** Method of soil analysis. Vol. I. Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, Wisconsin, U. S. A.
- Bruns, H. A. and Ebelhar, M. W. 2006.** Nutrient Uptake of Maize Affected by Nitrogen and Potassium Fertility in a Humid Subtropical Environment. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal.* **37:** 275-293.
- Buoyoucos, G. J. 1952.** A recalibration of the hydrometer method for making mechanical analysis of soil. *Agro. J.* **43:** 434-438.
- Dhingra, K. K., Dhillon, D. S., Grewal, D. S. and Sharma, K. 1991.** Performance of maize and mungbean intercropping in different planting pattern and row orientations. *Indian J. Agronomy.* **36:** 207-12.
- Fageria, N. K., Baligar, V. C. and Clark, R. B. 2002.** Micronutrients in crop production. *Adv Agron.* **77:** 185-268.
- Fisher, R. A. 1950.** Statistical method for Research workers. *Oliver and Boyd Ltd., Edinburgh.*
- Gauri, B. L., Manison, P. R. and Gupta, D. C. 1991.** Effect of nitrogen levels and their splits on yield of maize. *Indian J. Agron.* **37:** 816-17.
- Gill, M. S., Singh, T. Rana, D. S. and Bhandri, A. L. 1994.** Response of maize and wheat to different levels of fertilization. *Indian J. Agron.* **39:** 168-170.
- Gomez, A. K. and Gomez, A. A. 1984.** Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York, pp. 180-209.
- Hudcova, O. 1987.** The effect of graduated application rates of a combined NPK fertilizer and ground limestone on changes in potassium forms in the soil. *Rostl Vyroba.* **33:** 1301-1308.
- Ibragimov, A. C. 1990.** Nutrient uptake and accumulation in maize grown. *Adv Agron.* **32:** 29-34.
- Jackson, M. L. 1973.** Soil chemical analysis, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi.
- Jha, A. K., Shri, S. and Shrivastava, A. 2015.** Influence of physiological parameters and yield by different maize based cropping system *The Bioscan.* **10(1):** 203-205.
- Shekhawat, K., Rathore, S.S., Premi, O.P., Kandpal, B.K. and Chauhan, J. S. 2012.** Advances in Agronomic Management of Indian Mustard (Brassica juncea (L.) Czernj. Cosson): An Overview. *Int. J. Agron.* pp. 1-14.
- Kapur, M. L. and Rana, D. S. 1980.** Response of maize hybrid to the application of fertilizer on cultivated field. *Indian J. Agron.* **25:** 299-301.
- Lindsay, W. L. and Norvel, W. A. 1978.** Development of DTPA soil test for Zinc, Iron, Manganese and Copper. *Soil. Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **42:** 421-428.
- Mishra, C. M. 1993.** Performance of maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties to fertility levels under rainfed conditions of Madhya Pradesh. *Indian J. Agron.* **38:** 483-485.
- Munaswamy, V., Sriramamurthy, V. M. M., Reddy, C. N. and Reddy, S. R. 1989.** Influence of fertilizer nitrogen levels on the uptake of nitrogen by high yielding maize germplasms. *Agri. Sci. Digest.* **9:** 217-220.
- Nandal, D. P. S. and Agarwal, S. K. 1991.** Response of winter maize to sowing dates, irrigation and nitrogen. *Indian J. Agron.* **36:** 239-242.
- Nyyar, V. K., Arora, C. L. and Katak, P. K. 2001.** Management of soil micronutrient deficiencies. *J. Crop Prod.* **4:** 87-131.
- Olsen, S. R., Cole, C. V., Watanable, F. S. and Dean, L. A. 1954.** Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular Nr 939, US Gov. Print. Office, Washington, D.C.
- Padmaja, M., Srilatha, D. and Rao, K. L. 1999.** Effect of nitrogen on nutrient uptake in maize (*Zea mays* L.) types. *J. Res. ANGRAU.* **27:** 112-114.
- Sangoi, L. 1990.** Arranjo de plantas e características agrônomicas de genótipos de milho em dois níveis de fertilidade. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira.* **25:** 12-14.
- Sekhawat, G. S., Singh, V. B. and Mathur, B. N. 1955.** Fertilizer requirement of maize. *Indian J. Agron.* **10:** 178-182.
- Singh, P., Rana, N. S., Shukla, U. N., Singh, S., Kumar, R. and Kumar, K. 2013.** effect of genotypes and nitrogen levels on production potential of maize (*zea mays* L.) Under indo-gangatic plain zone of western U.P. *The Bioscan.* **8(3):** 777-781.
- Sharma, K. N., Bhandari, A. L., Kapur, M. L. and Rana, D. S. 1991.** Nitrogen use efficiency patterns in four cultivars of hybrid maize. *J. Res. Punjab Agri. University.* **28:** 16-19.
- Shivay, Y. S. and Singh, R. P. 2000.** Growth, yield attributes, yield and nutrient uptake of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by cropping systems and nitrogen levels. *Ann. Agril. Res.* **21:** 494-498.
- Shivay, Y. S., Singh, R. P. and Pandey, C. S. 2002.** Physiological analysis of growth in maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by cropping systems and nitrogen levels. *Indian J. Plant Physio.* **7:** 126-130.
- Stromberg, L. D., Dudley, J. W. and Rufener, G. K. 1994.** Comparing conventional early generation selection with molecular marker-assisted selection in maize. *Crop Sci.* **34:** 1221-1225.
- Subbair, B. V. and Ajisa, G. L. 1956.** A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soil. *Curr. Sci.* p. 25.
- Uribelarrea, M., Below, F. E. and Moose, S. P. 2004.** Grain composition and productivity of maize hybrids derived from the Illinois protein strains in response to variable nitrogen supply. *Crop Sci.* **44:** 1593-1600.
- Walkley, A. and Black, T. A. 1934.** An examination of the Degt. Jarett method for determination of soil organic matter and a proposed modification of chromic acid titration. *Soil Sci.* **37:** 29-38.

